



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3393
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Scrub Typhus
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only based on 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-Yes, the manuscript on scrub typhus is significant for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive overview of the disease's epidemiology, clinical symptoms, diagnosis, treatment, and prevention strategies. It emphasizes the severity of scrub typhus and the importance of prompt identification and treatment to prevent life-threatening complications. Additionally, it discusses diagnostic tools, treatment options, and public health interventions, highlighting the need for vector control and health education in endemic regions. Overall, the manuscript serves as a valuable resource for healthcare professionals, researchers, and policymakers involved in combating scrub typhus and guiding future research and public health efforts.</p> <p>2- The title "A Review on Scrub Typhus" is appropriate for the content described in the manuscript. It accurately reflects the nature of the article as a comprehensive review covering various aspects of scrub typhus, including its epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. The title effectively communicates to readers the focus and scope of the manuscript, making it suitable for the</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript on scrub typhus is significant .</p> <p>2. Title is appropriate</p> <p>3. Yes</p>

	<p>scientific community seeking information on scrub typhus.</p> <p>3- Based on the provided abstract, it appears to be comprehensive in summarizing the main points of the manuscript. It covers various aspects of scrub typhus, including its epidemiology, clinical symptoms, diagnosis, treatment, and prevention strategies. The abstract highlights the seriousness of scrub typhus as a public health risk in endemic areas and emphasizes the need for prompt identification and treatment of severe cases. Additionally, it mentions the use of molecular techniques and serological testing for diagnosis, the importance of antibiotics like doxycycline in treatment, and the significance of public health interventions such as vector control and health education. The abstract also acknowledges ongoing research efforts to develop effective vaccines against scrub typhus. Overall, the abstract provides a concise overview of the manuscript's content, making it suitable for readers to grasp the key insights presented in the article.</p> <p>4- Yes, the manuscript appears to be well-structured with clear subsections that logically organize the information. Each section addresses specific aspects related to scrub typhus, including its epidemiology, endemic regions and affected populations, seasonal</p>	<p>4- Yes</p> <p>5- yes</p>
--	---	-----------------------------

	<p>patterns and environmental factors influencing transmission, clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment and management, prevention and control measures, and research and development efforts. This division allows for a comprehensive examination of the topic, making it easier for readers to navigate and understand the content. Additionally, the use of subsections helps to maintain focus and clarity throughout the manuscript. Overall, the structure appears appropriate for conveying the information effectively.</p> <p>5- Based on the information provided in the manuscript, it appears to be scientifically sound. The content covers various aspects of scrub typhus, including its epidemiology, clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment, prevention, and ongoing research efforts. The references cited throughout the manuscript also support the information presented, which adds credibility to the content. However, it's essential to note that without access to the full text of the references cited, it's challenging to verify the accuracy of specific details or interpretations provided in the manuscript. Therefore, it's advisable for readers to critically evaluate the information and consult additional sources if needed. Overall, the manuscript seems to adhere to scientific principles and standards.</p>	<p>6- yes, references are cited properly</p>
--	---	--

	<p>6- The manuscript includes a list of references at the end, which is a positive sign of citing sources to support the information presented. However, without reviewing each reference individually, it's challenging to determine their sufficiency and recency definitively.</p> <p>The references appear to cover a range of topics related to scrub typhus, including epidemiology, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, treatment, and research. The presence of a diverse set of references suggests an attempt to provide comprehensive coverage of the subject matter.</p> <p>To assess the recency of the references, it would be necessary to examine the publication dates of each source. Ideally, recent studies and reviews published within the last few years would contribute to the manuscript's currency and relevance.</p> <p>Overall, while the manuscript appears to have included references to support its content, a detailed review of each reference's publication date and relevance would be necessary to determine if they are sufficient and recent.</p>	
--	---	--



--	--	--

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consistency in Terminology: Ensure consistency in the terminology used throughout the manuscript, particularly regarding the name of the causative bacterium. It is referred to as <i>Orientia tsutsugamushi</i>, <i>Orientiatsutsugamushi</i>, and <i>Orientiatsutsugamushi</i> in different parts of the text. Choose one form and use it consistently. 2. Formatting: Check the formatting of the references section to ensure that all references follow a uniform style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago). 3. Clarity in Subsections: Some subsection headings could be more concise and specific. For example, "Avoiding High-Risk Areas" could be broken down into more descriptive subheadings for clarity. 4. Avoid Repetition: Avoid repeating information across sections. Ensure that each section adds new insights or elaborates on previously mentioned points without unnecessary repetition. 5. Review Cross-Referencing: Double-check cross-referencing between sections to ensure coherence and consistency in presenting information throughout the manuscript. 6. Acknowledgment of Limitations: Consider including a brief acknowledgment of any limitations of the study or gaps in the literature discussed, providing transparency and context for the findings 	<p><i>Orientia tsutsugamushi</i></p> <p>6. provided</p>
---------------------------------------	--	---



	<p>presented.</p> <p>7. Proofreading: Conduct a thorough proofreading to correct any grammatical errors, typos, or inconsistencies in language usage throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>These minor revisions will enhance the overall clarity, coherence, and professionalism of the manuscript.</p>	7. Proof reading was done
Optional comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in this manuscript